

The Plaintiff's objections seek to clarify the amount she owes the loan servicer and complain that the loan servicer failed to provide her with accurate monthly mortgage statements.

She also claims her home has increased in value. These objections are irrelevant and fail to identify any specific issue of law or fact among those set forth in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation with which the Plaintiff disagrees. Thus, the Plaintiff's objections fail to invoke her right to a *de novo* review of the report and recommendation. See Nettles, 677 F.2d at 410 n.8.

Nonetheless, the court has undertaken a *de novo* review of the report and recommendation, and the court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and conclusions are correct. See Douglass, 79 F.3d at 1429 (noting that a district court may alternatively find the magistrate judge's findings and conclusions were correct even though a party did not properly object to the report and recommendation).

It is, therefore, **ORDERED** that Cid's objections (Doc. No. 30) are **OVERRULED**; the magistrate judge's report and recommendation (Doc. No. 28) is **ADOPTED**; the Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 9) is **GRANTED**; and Cid's claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **14** day of **April**, **2014**.



Ron Clark, United States District Judge